

RMA Further Submission Form in support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on publicly notified Plan Change 31

Return your submission by 4pm on	OFFICE USE
Friday 13 October 2023 to:	Submission No: FS1
Senior Planning Administrator PC31 Further Submissions	Original Submission No: S3
Nelson City Council PO Box 645 Nelson 7040	Date Received Stamp:
(Hand delivery or courier to: Ground Floor, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson 7010 or email to: environmental.planning@ncc.govt.nz with plan change no.31 in the subject line)	13/10/20123 NDOCs No:
*Required	The second second
Submitter Details	
Full Name* HAROLD JOHN PEAR	SON
Organisation	
Contact Person	
Postal address*	at registro
and the same of th	The state of the second support to the second
Telephone* pear	sonharryayahoo. co. AZ
Please note that your name and address is required to be made publicly availab 1991, as any further submission supporting or opposing this submission is requisubmitter as well as council.	red to be forwarded to the original
Signature of Submitter*	13-10-2023
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter - a signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)	Date
Council Hearing*	and the second second
[] I/we do not wish to be heard in support of my/our further submission.	and providing the state of
I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our further submission. If yes:	
I/we would be prepared to consider presenting my/our submission is submission at any hearings.	n a joint case with others making a similar
A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter with the local authority, their details can be found online at https://shape.nelson.gov	to The same of the contract of the same of
runway-extension.	and the many by an investment of

support of, or in opposition to. I/we (state whether you are (please tick) the local authority for the relevant area a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (i.e. an original submitter): submitter I/we support support in part oppose oppose in part the Submission of*: **Submission Point Number:** RUTH Enter the NAME of the submitter NEWTON Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is * accept accept in part reject reject in part The particular parts of the submission I/we support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)
2.1 Nelson already has several large supermarkets The reasons for my/our support/opposition are*: (give reasons) 2.1 Tahunanui and Annesbrook have no supermarket. More intensive housing is planned, so we need more supermarked A local supermarket would enable residents to purchase groceries with less time and money spent commuting.

This is the most accessible location for a supermarket for travelers using SHG or arriving at our airport.

Note:

- is frivolous or vexatious.
- discloses no reasonable or relevant case.
- would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.
- contains offensive language.
- is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

the local authority for the relevant area a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category: a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (i.e. an original submitter): submitter I/we support support in part oppose oppose in part the Submission of*: Submission Point Number: Enter the NAME of the submitter Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter 701 The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is * accept accept in part reject reject in part The particular parts of the submission I/we support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal) 2.2 Supermarkete will impact on other smaller traders and the (BD. The reasons for my/our support/opposition are*: (give reasons) There are no small traders in our local community of Tahunanui and Amesbook that a supermarket will impact on, except for dairies which are more expensive and less affordable for consumers, Local residents should be able to shop locally and not forced to commute to Nelson CBD or stoke which only adds to traffic congestion and the cost of shopping. With planed adds to traffic congestion and the cost of shopping. With planed intensification in the CBD and stoke the current supermarkets will not have sufficient parking and so a supermarket supporting a different community such as Tahunanui Annesbrock makes sense and reduces congestioned vote: current supermarkets. current supermarkets.

support of, or in opposition to

- is frivolous or vexatious.
- discloses no reasonable or relevant case.
- would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.
- contains offensive language.
- is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a
 person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert
 advice on the matter.

support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on publicly notifie the local authority for the relevant area a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category: a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (i.e. an original submitter): submitter I/we support support in part oppose oppose in part the Submission of*: Submission Point Number: 2.3 RUTH NEWTON Enter the NAME of the submitter Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is * accept accept in part reject reject in part The particular parts of the submission I/we support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal) 2.3 Traffic impacts. The reasons for my/our support/opposition are*: (give reasons)

The number of people Shopping at The Junction will only increase as the centre develops. It makes sense to allow these shoppers to do their supermarket shopping white there so that they do not have to commute to another area of town and add to traffic congestion. If people working a Tahungaui and Annestreal can shop before or after work it will actually reduce traffic congestion on our main roads during peak hour traffic.

RMA Further Submission Form in

Note:

- is frivolous or vexatious.
- discloses no reasonable or relevant case.
- would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.
- contains offensive language.
- is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a
 person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert
 advice on the matter.

I/we (state whether you are (please tick)* the local authority for the relevant area a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you П come within this category: a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (i.e. an original submitter): submitter I/we support support in part oppose oppose in part the Submission of*: 5.3 **Submission Point Number:** TBCA. Enter the NAME of the submitter secretary@ tahunanui.co.nz Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is * accept accept in part reject reject in part The particular parts of the submission I/we support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal) Adverse traffic implications and poor buses and pedestrians The reasons for my four support/opposition are*: (give reasons)

I would like our (ouncil for create a bus stop at The Junction so that it is accessible to public transport users. It is already very close to route 1 and close to route 4. This would help to ensure excessive land is not 1 taken up by car parks. Already a lot of people shop at Mitoelo and The number of shoppers will increase as the centre develops. It is logical to give these shoppers access to a supermarket so that they do not have to these shoppers access to a supermarket so that they do not have to drive to another location to buy give ceres. Local workers could shop at the drive to another location to buy give erres. Local workers could shop at the supermarket which would help alleviate peak how congestion. The junction is served by a wide shared cycle (walkway and is a central location for resident of Tahunanui Anaroshrocko Llakatu and Enner Chunn and the residents of Techunanui Angesbrocke Wakatu and Enner Clynn and the Note: new large development at the end of Highview drive.
Tahuranui could have a Bus on Demand' like Stoke has. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission): is frivolous or vexatious. discloses no reasonable or relevant case. would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further. contains offensive language.

is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert

advice on the matter.

support of, or in opposition to, I/we (state whether you are (please tick)* the local authority for the relevant area a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (i.e. an original submitter): Submitter I/we support support in part oppose oppose in part the Submission of*: Submission Point Number: Enter the NAME of the submitter secretary@ tahunanii.co.nz Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is * accept accept in part reject reject in part The particular parts of the submission I/we support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal) Concern about land banking The reasons for my/our support/opposition are*: (give reasons) I also do not want to see any land banking of this land. I would like our Council to do everything a possible to ensure that the land is developed in a sustainable way that is beneficial to the surrounding communities and for this to be done as soon as possible. An affordable supermarket is one of the biggest needs for surrounding communities at present

Note:

- is frivolous or vexatious.
- discloses no reasonable or relevant case.
- would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.
- contains offensive language.
- is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

support of, or in apposition to, I/we (state whether you are (please tick)* the local authority for the relevant area a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (i.e. an original submitter): original submitter I/we support support in part oppose oppose in part the Submission of*: **Submission Point Number:** Enter the NAME of the submitter TBCA Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter secretary@tahunana; ros; acwave .co.n7 The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is * accept accept in part reject reject in part The particular parts of the submission I/we support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal) a location in Tahunanui. Would preter The reasons for my/our support/opposition are*: (give reasons)

For Tahunanui residents there better potential sites but There is no

guarantee that they will ever have a supermarket. I would rather have
a local supermarket than none at all. Also the Junction would

gerve The communities of Wakatu, Enner Glynn Annes brook and
the large development at the end of High view Drive in addition
to Tahunanui. So it does not have to be in the centre of Tahunanui,
with planned housing intensification, 2 supermarkets would be good to
satisfy demand and ensure competition, so a central Tahunanui super market could be developed at a later date if a site became available.

Note: There could be a Bus on Denand'service for Tahuranui that would get residents k and from The Jenction, just like Stoke has for its Community Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission): is frivolous or vexatious.

contains offensive language.

discloses no reasonable or relevant case.

is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.



RMA Further Submission Form in support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on publicly notified Plan Change 31

Return your submission by 4pm on Friday 13 October 2023 to: Senior Planning Administrator PC31 Further Submissions Nelson City Council PO Box 645 Nelson 7040 (Hand delivery or courier to: Ground Floor, Civic House, 11 Nelson 7010 or email to: environmental.planning@ncc.go change no.31 in the subject line) *Required			OFFICE USE Submission No: FS2 Original Submission No: NA Date Received Stamp: 12 OCT 2023 Customer Service NDOCs No: 539570224-16454
Submitter Details			
Full Name* Mey KNONA			
	Stop		
Contact Person Wey KNONG	10		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T
Postal address*		137 77	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
			2 - 26 - 1
Telephone*	Email*	thelu	nchstops egmail.com.
Public information			
Please note that your name and address is required to be 1991, as any further submission supporting or opposing the submitter as well as council.			
Signature of Submitter* (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter - a sonot required if you make your submission by electronic makes.)	9	196	12/10/2023 Date*
Council Hearing*			
I/we do not wish to be heard in support of my/our	r further sul	omission.	
I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our furthe			
☐ I/we would be prepared to consider presenting submission at any hearings.	g my/our su	bmission in a	a joint case with others making a similar
A conv of your further submission must be served on the	original cubr	mitter within	five working days after it is served on

the local authority, their details can be found online at https://shape.nelson.govt.nz/plan-change-30-nelson-airport-theory

runway-extension.

Received at Nelson City Council

12/10/2023 3:24:39 pm

Counter

Di

2000009160 I/we (state whether you are (please tick)* the local authority for the relevant area a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category: a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category (i.e. an original submitter): SUBMITTO I/we support support in part oppose oppose in part the Submission of*: Submission Point Number: change 31 Enter the NAME of the submitter Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter The decision I would like the Council to make on this submission point is * accept accept in part reject reject in part The particular parts of the submission I/we-support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal) The yellow line in front of my business. No cars can park there or opposite side of the Pascoe Street. The reasons for my/our support/opposition are*: (give reasons) There would be no public carpark for my business and therefore reduce my business.
It's dangerous for walking pedestrian going in and out of my business.
It would reduce the value of my business

Note:

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

dready a 10 mins sign in front of my business

- is frivolous or vexatious.
- discloses no reasonable or relevant case.
- would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.
- contains offensive language.
- is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a
 person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert
 advice on the matter.



RMA Further Submission Form in support of, or in opposition to, submission(s) on publicly notified Plan Change 31

submission at any hearings.

runway-extension.

Return your submission by 4pm on Friday 13 October 2023 to:	OFFICE USE
	Submission No: FS3
Senior Planning Administrator PC31 Further Submissions	Original Submission No: NA
Nelson City Council	Date Received Stamp.
PO Box 645	
Nelson 7040	16 OCT 2023
(Hand delivery or courier to: Ground Floor, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar Street,	
Nelson 7010 or email to: environmental.planning@ncc.govt.nz with plan change no.31 in the subject line)	Customer Service
\.	NDOCs No: 539570224-16453
*Required	
	-
Submitter Details	PT: 1
	TERILL.
Organisation Lng Widual	7-19-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Contact Person Alastair David Cott	2011
Postal address*	feet = 1, r
Tomas Maddile	
Telephone*	tennisnz@yahoo.com
Public information	
Please note that your name and address is required to be made publicly available	
1991, as any further submission supporting or opposing this submission is require	d to be forwarded to the original
submitter as well as council.	[설계 : 320mm - 설립
Vendada osebla	1-1 10007
Alevery .	15/10/2025
Signature of Submitter*	Date*
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter - a signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)	TW Continued pri-
not required if you make your submission by electronic meansy	
Council Hearing*	
I/we do not wish to be heard in support of my/our further submission.	
I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our further submission. If yes:	totak anan salah sahan malahi anan terlih
☐ I/we would be prepared to consider presenting my/our submission in a	a joint case with others making a similar

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days after it is served on the local authority, their details can be found online at https://shape.nelson.govt.nz/plan-change-30-nelson-airport-

I/we (state whether you are (please tick)*			
the local authority for the relevant area			
	ect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you		
, come within this category:			
	roposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, u come within this category (i.e. aṇ oṛiginal submitter):		
A 4.0	n Tahunanyi, Nelson Tunction supermarke		
IS POSITIVE FOR	area great asset for general public		
apel _			
support support opp	ose oppose in part the Submission of*:		
Submission Point Number:			
Enter the NAME of the submitter			
Enter the ADDRESS of the submitter			
The decision I would like the Council to ma	ke on this submission point is *		
✓ accept ☐ accept in part ☐ reject ☐ r	reject in part		
	support/oppose are*: (clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you		
support or oppose, together with any relevant pro	ovisions of the proposal) 31 for a supermarket		
I Support	heards matte 10 mean Nelson,		
or Neison Junctio	That local community plus		
being a absent	For Fort of Nolegon worth		
Tahunanui Commi	unity. From Front of Welson Jungila,		
is just over 1 kg	n to Tahunanut Congruenting artor		
under Ikm Hon	y pack emilance, it is now		
My Dull Support	T to garee this plan change.		
The reasons for my/our support/opposition	rare*: (give reasons)		
Bo above Plus	will will help engage the		
115 0	Alder ditinternal all.		
Community Of	Nelson ausurus, especiation		
Tahunanu and	will be a positive assety		
to Nelson Provi	Nelson district, especially will be a positive assort, mad her zealand.		
W I WOOT! III	2 2 20000 00 ,		

Note:

- is frivolous or vexatious.
- discloses no reasonable or relevant case.
- would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.
- contains offensive language.
- is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a
 person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert
 advice on the matter.